College of Arts and Sciences Survey American University of Sharjah 201520_Spring_2015

Course: MTH221 02 - Linear Algebra

## Responsible

 Faculty:Ayman Badawi
Overall Mean: 3.92 Reversed 5-Point Likert Scale (608 responses)

Graph Legend
Badawi, Ayman R
MTH Faculty
All Faculty

| CAS - Course \& Faculty Course Experience |  | Badawi, Ayman R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- Survey Comparisons --- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Responses |  |  |  |  |  | Individual |  |  |  | MTH |  |  | All |  |  |
|  |  | SA | A | N | D | SD | N | Mean | Med. | Mode | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { Dev } \end{aligned}$ | N | Mean | Pct <br> Rnk | N | Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct } \\ & \text { Rnk } \end{aligned}$ |
| Q1 | The course was well organised | 12 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 32 | 4.03 | 4 | 4 | 1.10 | 2.4K | 4.16 | 31 | 11K | 4.23 | 25 |
| Q2 | The course objectives were carefully and clearly defined | 12 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 4.03 | 4 | 4,5 | . 95 | 2.4K | 4.15 | 32 | 11K | 4.24 | 22 |
| Q3 | The course grading scheme was clearly defined | 12 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 4.16 | 4 | 4 | . 79 | 2.4K | 4.19 | 40 | 11K | 4.23 | 37 |
| Q4 | The textbook(s) and supplemented material were useful to your understanding of the course content | 9 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 32 | 3.72 | 4 | 3,4 | 1.10 | 2.4K | 3.93 | 24 | 11K | 3.98 | 23 |
| Q5 | The assignments and reading material were helpful in improving your understanding of the subject | 7 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 3.66 | 3.5 | 3 | . 92 | 2.4K | 3.98 | 25 | 11K | 4.08 | 12 |
| Q6 | The classroom interaction helped you learn and understand the material | 11 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 32 | 4.00 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2.4K | 3.95 | 43 | 11K | 4.14 | 29 |
| Q7 | The course made you want to learn more about the subject | 8 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 32 | 3.63 | 4 | 3,4 | 1.11 | 2.4K | 3.73 | 40 | 11K | 3.91 | 22 |
| Q8 | The course was demanding compared to other courses | 5 | 7 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 32 | 3.25 | 3 | 3 | 1.06 | 2.4K | 3.88 | 6 | 11K | 3.88 | 3 |
| Q9 | The course had high standards compared to other courses | 5 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 32 | 3.34 | 3 | 3 | 1.05 | 2.4K | 3.93 | 7 | 11K | 3.92 | 4 |
| Q10 | The course objectives were accomplished | 9 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 4.19 | 4 | 4 | . 58 | 2.4K | 4.07 | 49 | 11K | 4.15 | 48 |
| Q11 | Overall, this course was excellent | 10 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 4.13 | 4 | 4 | . 82 | 2.4K | 3.90 | 60 | 11K | 4.01 | 53 |
|  | Responses: [SA] Stron |  |  | e $=$ |  |  |  | = 4 [ $\mathbf{N}]$ | Neutr | I=3 | ] D | agree | 2 [SD |  | ngly | isagre | =1 |



| CAS - Course \& Faculty Course Instructor | Badawi, Ayman R |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- Survey Comparisons --- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Responses |  |  |  |  | Individual |  |  |  | Std <br> Dev | MTH |  |  | All |  |  |
|  | SA | A | N | D | SD | N | Mean | Med. | Mode |  | N | Mean | Pct <br> Rnk | N | Mean | Pct <br> Rnk |
| The instructor started Q12 and finished the class on time | 10 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 3.75 | 4 | 4,5 | 1.09 | 2.4K | 4.36 | 9 | 11K | 4.41 | 5 |
| The instructor was <br> Q13 ready to answer your questions | 8 | 13 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 32 | 3.56 | 4 | 4 | 1.27 | 2.4K | 4.31 | 6 | 11K | 4.44 | 1 |
| The instructor <br> Q14 evaluated your work fairly | 14 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 4.28 | 4 | 4,5 | . 76 | 2.4K | 4.13 | 50 | 11K | 4.21 | 50 |
| The instructor <br> Q15 evaluated your work on time | 12 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 4.25 | 4 | 4 | . 75 | 2.4K | 4.23 | 45 | 11K | 4.28 | 40 |
| The instructor's <br> Q16 comments on your work were clear, specific and helpful | 10 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 32 | 3.84 | 4 | 4 | 1.18 | 2.4K | 4.00 | 31 | 11K | 4.14 | 17 |

The instructor was

Q17
very effective in
helping you understand the course material

The instructor $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}\text { demonstrated } a & 17 & 11 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 32 & 4.34 & 5 & 5 & .89 & \mathbf{2 . 4 K} & 4.25 & 43 & \mathbf{1 1 K} & 4.37 & 39\end{array}$ thorough knowledge of the subject

Q19 Overall, the instructor was excellent

1414202
$324.19 \quad 4 \quad 4,5 \quad 1.01 \quad 2.4 K$
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Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree $=5$ [A]
[A] Agree $=4$ [N Pct Rnk: Percentile Rank (100 is best, calculated vs. precise Mean)

Q20 - Please enter below any comments on how the course should be improved
Faculty: Badawi, Ayman R
Response Rate: 3.13\% (1 of 32)

-     - 

Q21 - Please provide your instructor with helpful suggestions for improving his/her effectiveness
Faculty: Badawi, Ayman R
Response Rate: 12.50\% (4 of 32)

- Dr Ayman is simply a genius!

This professor is the worst professor I have ever taken a course with in my life. He is very rude and
disrespectful to his students. I would never recommend him to any student. (I only edited this comment, since
the student kept going nonstop trying to illustrate the claim. So I only selected the above actual three phrases. Signature: A. Badawi and extend the i)

- Perfect instructor.
- Try not to be rude with your students

Q22 - Please enter specific comments, if relevant, on the role that Information Technology plays or could play in this course

Faculty: Badawi, Ayman R
Response Rate: 3.13\% (1 of 32)

-     - 

Q23 - Other comments
Faculty: Badawi, Ayman R
Response Rate: 6.25\% (2 of 32)

